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Historically, there have been a variety of diagnostic classification systems advocated for determining endodontic disease.1 Unfortunately, the majority of them have been based upon histopathological findings rather than clinical findings, often leading to confusion, misleading terminology, and incorrect diagnoses.2 A key purpose of establishing a proper pulpal and periapical diagnosis is to determine what clinical treatment is needed.3, 4 For example, if an incorrect assessment is made, then improper management may result. This could include performing endodontic treatment when it is not needed or providing no treatment or some other therapy when root canal treatment is truly indicated. Another important purpose of establishing a universal classification system is to allow for communication between educators, clinicians, students and researchers. A simple and practical system which uses terms related to clinical findings is essential and will help clinicians understand the progressive nature of pulpal and periapical disease, directing them to the most appropriate treatment approach for each condition.

In 2008, the American Association of Endodontists held a consensus conference to standardize diagnostic terms used in endodontics.1 The goals were to propose universal recommendations regarding endodontic diagnoses; develop a standardized definition of key diagnostic terms that will be generally accepted by endodontists, educators, test construction experts, third parties, generalists and other specialists, and students; resolve concerns about testing and interpretation of results; and determine the radiographic criteria, objective test results, and clinical criteria needed to validate the diagnostic terms established at the conference. Both the AAE and the American Board of Endodontics have accepted these terms and recommend their usage across all dental disciplines and health care professions.5-7 Each of the following diagnostic terms will be defined with typical respective clinical and radiographic characteristics along with representative case examples when appropriate. However, clinicians must recognize that diseases of the pulp and periapical tissues are dynamic and progressive and as such, signs and symptoms will vary depending on the stage of the disease and the patient status. Coupled with this are the limitations associated with current pulp testing modalities as well as clinical and radiographic examination techniques. In order to render proper treatment, a complete endodontic diagnosis must include both a pulpal and a periapical diagnosis for each tooth evaluated.

Examination and diagnostic procedures

Endodontic diagnosis is similar to a jigsaw puzzle—diagnosis cannot be made from a single isolated piece of information.6 The clinician must systematically gather all of the necessary information to make a “probable” diagnosis. When taking the medical and dental history, the clinician should already be formulating in his or her mind a preliminary but logical diagnosis, especially if there is a chief complaint. The clinical and radiographic examinations in combination with a thorough periodontal evaluation and clinical testing (pulp and periapical tests) are then used to confirm the preliminary diagnosis.4 In some cases, the clinical and radiographic examinations are inconclusive or give conflicting results and as a result, definitive pulp and periapical diagnoses cannot be made. It is also important to recognize that treatment should not be rendered without a diagnosis and in these situations, the patient may have to wait and be reassessed at a later date or be referred to an endodontist.
Examination procedures required to make an endodontic diagnosis

Medical/dental history  
Past/recent treatment, drugs

Chief complaint (if any)  
How long, symptoms, duration of pain, location, onset, stimuli, relief, referred, medications

Clinical exam  
Facial symmetry, sinus tract, soft tissue, periodontal status (probing, mobility), caries, restorations (defective, newly placed?)

Clinical testing:  
pulp tests  
Cold, electric pulp test, heat

periapical tests  
Percussion, palpation, Tooth Slooth (biting)

Radiographic analysis  
New periapicals (at least 2), bitewing, cone beam-computed tomography

Additional tests  
Transillumination, selective anaesthesia, test cavity

Diagnostic terminology approved by the American Association of Endodontists and the American Board of Endodontics

Pulpal diagnoses

Normal pulp is a clinical diagnostic category in which the pulp is symptom-free and normally responsive to pulp testing. Although the pulp may not be histologically normal, a “clinically” normal pulp results in a mild or transient response to thermal cold testing, lasting no more than one to two seconds after the stimulus is removed. One cannot arrive at a probable diagnosis without comparing the tooth in question with adjacent and contralateral teeth. It is best to test the adjacent teeth and contralateral teeth first so that the patient is familiar with the experience of a normal response to cold.

Reversible pulpitis is based upon subjective and objective findings that the vital inflamed pulp is incapable of healing and that root canal treatment is indicated. Characteristics may include sharp pain upon thermal stimulus, lingering pain (often 30 seconds or longer after stimulus removal), spontaneity (unprovoked pain) and referred pain. Sometimes the pain may be accentuated by postural changes such as lying down or bending over and over-the-counter analgesics are typically ineffective. Common aetiologies may include deep caries, extensive restorations, or fractures exposing the pulpal tissues. Teeth with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis may be difficult to diagnose because the inflammation has not yet reached the periapical tissues, thus resulting in no pain or discomfort to percussion. In such cases, dental history and thermal testing are the primary tools for assessing pulpal status.

Symptomatic irreversible pulpitis is based on subjective and objective findings that the vital inflamed pulp is incapable of healing and that root canal treatment is indicated. Characteristics may include sharp pain upon thermal stimulus, lingering pain (often 30 seconds or longer after stimulus removal), spontaneity (unprovoked pain) and referred pain. Sometimes the pain may be accentuated by postural changes such as lying down or bending over and over-the-counter analgesics are typically ineffective. Common aetiologies may include deep caries, extensive restorations, or fractures exposing the pulpal tissues. Teeth with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis may be difficult to diagnose because the inflammation has not yet reached the periapical tissues, thus resulting in no pain or discomfort to percussion. In such cases, dental history and thermal testing are the primary tools for assessing pulpal status.
Asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis is a clinical diagnosis based on subjective and objective findings indicating that the vital inflamed pulp is incapable of healing and that root canal treatment is indicated. These cases have no clinical symptoms and usually respond normally to thermal testing but may have had trauma or deep caries that would likely result in exposure following removal.

Pulp necrosis is a clinical diagnostic category indicating death of the dental pulp, necessitating root canal treatment. The pulp is non-responsive to pulp testing and is asymptomatic. Pulp necrosis by itself does not cause apical periodontitis (pain to percussion or radiographic evidence of osseous breakdown) unless the canal is infected. Some teeth may be non-responsive to pulp testing because of calcification, recent history of trauma, or simply the tooth is just not responding. As stated previously, this is why all testing must be of a comparative nature (e.g. patient may not respond to thermal testing on any teeth).

Previously treated is a clinical diagnostic category indicating that the tooth has been endodontically treated and the canals are obturated with various filling materials other than intracanal medicaments. The tooth typically does not respond to thermal or electric pulp testing.

Previously initiated therapy is a clinical diagnostic category indicating that the tooth has been previously treated by partial endodontic therapy such as pulpotomy or pulpectomy. Depending on the level of therapy, the tooth may or may not respond to pulp testing modalities.

Apical diagnoses

Normal apical tissues are not sensitive to percussion or palpation testing and radiographically, the lamina dura surrounding the root is intact and the periodontal ligament space is uniform. As with pulp testing, comparative testing for percussion and palpation should always begin with normal teeth as a baseline for the patient.

Symptomatic apical periodontitis represents inflammation, usually of the apical periodontium, producing clinical symptoms involving a painful response to biting and/or percussion or palpation. This may or may not be accompanied by radiographic changes (i.e. depending upon the stage of the disease, there may be normal width of the periodontal ligament or there may be a periapical radiolucency). Severe pain to percussion and/or palpation is highly indicative of a degenerating pulp and root canal treatment is needed.

Asymptomatic apical periodontitis is inflammation and destruction of the apical periodontium that is of pulpal origin. It appears as an apical radiolucency and does not present clinical symptoms (no pain on percussion or palpation).

Chronic apical abscess is an inflammatory reaction to pulpal infection and necrosis characterized by gradual onset, little or no discomfort and an intermittent discharge of pus through an associated sinus tract. Radiographically, there are typically signs of osseous destruction such as a radiolucency. To identify the source of a draining sinus tract when present, a gutta-percha cone is carefully placed through the stoma or opening until it stops and a radiograph is taken.

Acute apical abscess is an inflammatory reaction to pulpal infection and necrosis characterized by rapid onset, spontaneous pain, extreme tenderness of the tooth to pressure, pus formation and swelling of associated tissues. There may be no radiographic signs of destruction and the patient often experiences malaise, fever and lymphadenopathy.

Condensing osteitis is a diffuse radiopaque lesion representing a localized bony reaction to a low-grade inflammatory stimulus usually seen at the apex of the tooth.

Diagnostic case examples

A mandibular right first molar had been hypersensitive to cold and sweets over the past few months but the symptoms have subsided (Fig. 1). Now there is no response to thermal testing and there is tenderness to biting and pain to percussion. Radiographically, there are diffuse radiopacities around the root.
apices. Diagnosis: Pulp necrosis; symptomatic apical periodontitis with condensing osteitis. Non-surgical endodontic treatment is indicated followed by a build-up and crown. Over time the condensing osteitis should regress partially or totally.13

Following the placement of a full gold crown on the maxillary right second molar, a patient complained of sensitivity to both hot and cold liquids; now the discomfort is spontaneous (FIG. 2). Upon application of Endo-Ice® on this tooth, the patient experienced pain and upon removal of the stimulus, the discomfort lingered for 12 seconds. Responses to both percussion and palpation were normal; radiographically, there was no evidence of osseous changes. Diagnosis: Symptomatic irreversible pulpitis; normal apical tissues. Non-surgical endodontic treatment is indicated; access is to be repaired with a permanent restoration. Note that the maxillary second premolar has severe distal caries; following evaluation, the tooth was diagnosed with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis (hypersensitive to cold, lingering eight seconds); symptomatic apical periodontitis (pain to percussion).

A mandibular right lateral incisor has an apical radiolucency that was discovered during a routine examination (FIG. 4). There was a history of trauma more than 10 years ago and the tooth was slightly discolored. The tooth did not respond to Endo-Ice® or to the EPT; the adjacent teeth responded normally to pulp testing. There was no tenderness to percussion or palpation in the region. Diagnosis: pulp necrosis; asymptomatic apical periodontitis. Treatment is non-surgical endodontic treatment followed by bleaching and permanent restoration.

A mandibular left first molar demonstrates a relatively large apical radiolucency encompassing both the mesial and distal roots along with furcation involvement (FIG. 5). Periodontal probing depths were all within normal limits. The tooth did not respond to thermal (cold) testing and both percussion and palpation elicited normal responses. There was a draining sinus tract on the mid-facial of the attached gingiva which was traced with a gutta-percha cone. There was recurrent caries around the distal margin of the crown. Diagnosis: pulp necrosis; chronic apical abscess. Treatment is crown removal, non-surgical endodontic treatment and placement of a new crown.

A maxillary left first molar was endodontically treated more than 10 years ago (FIG. 6). The patient is complaining of pain to biting over the past three months. There appear to be apical radiolucencies around all three roots. The tooth was tender to both percussion and to the Tooth Slooth. Diagnosis: previously treated; symptomatic apical periodontitis. Treatment is non-surgical endodontic retreatment followed by permanent restoration of the access cavity.
A maxillary left lateral incisor exhibits an apical radiolucency (Fig. 7). There is no history of pain and the tooth is asymptomatic. There is no response to Endo-Ice® or to the EPT, whereas the adjacent teeth respond normally to both tests. There is no tenderness to percussion or palpation. Diagnosis: pulp necrosis; asymptomatic apical periodontitis. Treatment is nonsurgical endodontic treatment and placement of a permanent restoration.

Editorial note: This article originally appeared in ENDodontICS: Colleagues for Excellence, Fall 2013. Reprinted with permission from the American Association of Endodontists, ©2013. The AAE clinical newsletter is available at www.aae.org/colleagues.
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